Retrofitting a Vedic Origin for a Classical Hindu Goddess

TIMOTHY LUBIN WASHINGTON AND LEE UNIVERSITY

Many Hindu deities as known from classical sources (i.e, from the epics, Purāṇas, and later religious literature and iconography) have a very slender profile in the Vedic texts, appearing in only a few passages and often represented in ways that seem peripheral to their full, classical personae. Ritualists and devotees steeped in that older literature took pains to connect those deities to Vedic mantras and rites, in order to validate them with the prestige of venerable orthodoxy as well as to provide a basis for Brahmin priestly roles in their worship. The case of the goddess Durgā is particularly striking in this respect, since her Vedic "footprint" is so small. This study carefully examines the Durgā Sūkta and related materials to show how Taittirīya and Ŗgveda Brahmins went about supplying a Vedic liturgy for Durgā worship. This account complements the one recently proposed to show how Atharvan priests in the service of rulers drew on Atharvavedic traditions to present Durgā as a patron goddess of arms and military strength.

INTRODUCTION

Bihani Sarkar has observed that the early history of Durgā worship has largely remained "terra incognita," and although progress has been made in the last two decades, only some of the tenuous threads connecting the goddess to the Vedic tradition have only partially been teased out. Alexis Sanderson (2004: 255–62, esp. nn. 70–71), for example, has called attention to the appearance of the Navarātri festival as a ritual of worshipping Durgā or Bhadrakālī, on the sword and along with the weapons and insignia of the king, performed by the royal chaplain (purohita) to give the king victory, as prescribed in the Viṣṇudharmottara (2.158.1–8), the Nīlamatapurāṇa (780–82), and the Āṅgirasakalpa. This coincides with a lustration of the elephants and horses attested in Atharvavedapariśiṣṭa 17.1.1–8 and 18.1–3. The office of purohita, as we know both from Brahmanical normative sources and from inscriptions, was one that was supposed to be occupied by a Brahmin expert in the Atharvaveda, in order that he might draw on Atharvan ritual means to empower and protect the king; hence the emphasis on Durgā's role in military matters.

Author's note: A version of this material was presented as a paper for the Navarātri Seminar during the Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Religion, Denver, November 18, 2018. I have benefited from comments by Ute Hüsken, Michael Brattus Jones, Caleb Simmons, and Saran Suebsantiwongse.

- 1. Sarkar 2012: 325; post-Vedic early sources have been discussed by Sarkar 2017, Yokochi 2004, and Couture and Schmid 2001: *Harivaṃśa* (57.35–36), *Mahābhārata* (4.5.29ff. and 6.22.6ff.), the old *Skandapurāṇa* (60.46), *Kādambarī* (pp. 30–31), and *Harṣacarita* (p. 126).
- Beginning with Kauţiliya Arthaśāstra 1.9.9, Yājñavalkya Dharmaśāstra 1.309 (313 in older editions), and Atharvaveda Pariśiṣṭas 8.1.3 and 21.6.1. For further textual citations, see also Sanderson 2007: 204–5, and nn. 28 and 29
- 3. For examples, see the Neulpur copper-plate grant of Śubhākaradeva, eighth or ninth century (Banerji 1919–20; Tripathy 2000; Furui 2009: 327, II. 25–26; 328, II. 39–41), the Suvarṇakārikādaṇḍa copper-plate II of ca. 876/877 (Mukherji 1997–99, 2000; Furui 2009), the Chandravati charter of 1093 (Sahni 1917–18), and the Goa copper-plate grant of Tribhuvanamalla of 1107 (Khare 1951; Desai 1953–54 [1958]). These are discussed by Schmiedchen 2007: 361–69 (see nos. 12, 15b, 19, and 21 in her appendices I and III).

This Atharvan role for Durgā is extended by identifying her with the figure Āsurī, who shares the name of (and thus personifies) the black mustard seed. The *āsurīkalpa* offerings make her the divine recipient in the "six hostile rites," important ritual services that the Atharvans provided to rulers (*Atharvavedaparišiṣṭa* 35, *Paippalādavaśāṣaṭkarmapaddhati*; Magoun 1889). Sanderson (2007: 211 n. 45) explains:

Āsurī is already present in Atharvavedic tradition in the Āsurīkalpa of *Atharvavedapariśiṣṭa* 35. Indeed the latter, or a version of it, is probably what is mentioned in *Mahābhāṣya* on 4.1.19 under the name *āsurīyaḥ kalpaḥ*. The Pariśiṣṭa gives the Mantra used here in the Āṅgirasa collection but without a goddess of this name and no liturgical elements borrowed from or prefiguring the Tantric. There and in the Āṅgirasa text *āsurī* is the name of the Indian Black Mustard plant Sinapis ramosa Roxb. (MAGOUN 1889, pp. 171–172), which is ground into a meal out of which an effigy of the enemy is fashioned as the focus of hostile sorcery, being smeared with ghee, chopped up, and offered in the sacrificial fire (35.1.6–7: *hantukāmo hi śatrūṃś ca vaśīkurvaṃś ca bhūpatīn | āsurīślakṣṇapiṣṭājyaṃ juhuyād ākṛtiṃ budhaḥ | arkendhanāgniṃ prajvālya chittvāstreṇākṛtiṃ tu tām | pādāgrato 'ṣṭasahasraṃ juhuyād yasya vaśy asau). In the Āṅgirasa text all this is retained but the character of the ritual is transformed by superimposing a Tantric cult of a goddess who bears the plant's name and is equated with Durgā.*

Along similar lines, the Śivadharmaśāstra, one of the earliest works (late sixth century) composed to teach lay Śaivism and especially to inculcate royal patronage of Śaivism, includes a long chapter detailing many varieties of pacification rites (śānti) designed to appease hostile forces of all sorts and secure the kingdom. This chapter assigns Durgā worship to the ninth day of the lunar cycle (6.129cd): "the very terrifying Ninth Tithi, which is proclaimed to belong to Durgā!" (navamī tithir atyugrā durgāyāḥ parikīrtitā). 4 The ninth continues to be associated with Durgā, in particular the bright ninth of Āśvina, the last day of the fall Navarātri festival.

LOOKING FOR DURGĀ IN OUT-OF-THE-WAY PLACES IN THE YAJURVEDA AND RGVEDA

In the Yajurvedic ritual sphere, by contrast, Durgā appears in quite a different context, which has not attracted as much attention. Durgā is invoked there alongside other female divine powers (notably Manasvatī) in expiations for ritual defects in domestic rites, according to two codes that appear to reflect "late" redaction (*Baudhāyanagṛhyasūtra* 4.8.2, *Āgniveśyagṛhyasūtra* 9.2).

A likely first-millennium CE supplement to the Baudhāyana school's code, the Baudhāyanagṛhyaśeṣasūtra (BGŚS 3.3), prescribes monthly votive worship of Durgā (durgākalpa) as a means of obtaining blessings. Durgā, described as "Lady" (bhagavatī), is invoked into her image as "Āryā Raudrī" (om āryām raudrīm āvāhayāmīti āvāha). The image is presented a grass seat and a sacred thread, and then bathed and honored with scents, flowers, incense, and lamps, and praised with the eleven names Āryā, Raudrī, Mahākālī, Mahāyoginī, Suvarṇapuṣpī, Vedasaṃkīrtī, Mahāyajñī, Mahāvaiṣṇavī, Mahābhagavatī, Manogamī, Śaṅkhadhāriṇī. These names invoke an ecumenical theology encompassing both Śaiva and Vaiṣṇava conceptions of divinity. As with other image-worship liturgies in this text, the mantras are "old standards" drawn from the Taittirīya Yajurveda canon (Taittirīya Saṃhitā, Brāhmaṇa, and Āraṇyaka), interspersed with post-Vedic ritual elements. 5

Then fire-offering material (*havis*) is first presented "to Bhagavatī Durgā Devī" with the Sāvitrī stanza—the emblem of Vedic piety—and then the remainder is offered in the fire,

- 4. Bisschop 2018: 44, 106, 170.
- 5. Lubin 2016 analyzes the similarly hybrid character of the pūjā rites prescribed for Śiva and Visnu in this text.

using the same eleven names. Further mantras are recited, beginning with a grouping there called the "Five Durgas" ($pa\tilde{n}ca\ durg\bar{a}h$, so named in $BGSS\ 1.15.1$ as well as in 3.3; the "durgas" shown here in bold):

jātavedase sunavāma somam arātīyato ni dahāti vedaḥ |
sa naḥ parṣad ati durgāṇi viśvā nāveva sindhum duritāty agniḥ || 1
tām agnivarṇām tapasā jvalantīm vairocanīm karmaphaleṣu juṣṭām |
durgām devīm śaraṇam aham pra padye sutarasi tarase namaḥ || 2
agne tvam pārayā navyo asmānth svastibhir ati durgāṇi viśvā |
pūś ca pṛthvī bahulā na urvī bhavā tokāya tanayāya śaṃyoḥ || 3
viśvāni no durgahā jātavedaḥ sindhum na nāvā duritāti parṣi |
agne atrivan manasā gṛṇāno 'smākaṃ bodhy avitā tanūnām || 4
pṛtanājitam sahamānam ugram agnim huvema paramāt sadhasthāt |
sa naḥ parṣad ati durgāṇi viśvā kṣāmad devo ati duritāty agniḥ || 5
pratno ṣi kam īḍyo adhvareṣu sanāc ca hotā navyaś ca satsi |
svām cāgne tanuvaṃ piprayasvāsmabhyaṃ ca saubhagam ā yajasva || 6
gobhir juṣṭam ayujo niṣiktaṃ tavendra viṣṇor anu saṃcarema |
nākasya pṛṣṭham abhi samvasāno vaiṣṇavīm⁶ loka iha mādayantām || 7 || 10.1.16⁷

- For Jātavedas we will press soma. He will burn down the property of the hostile. He will carry
 us across all difficult passages, across difficult transits, as if with a boat across a river—Agni.
 (tr. Jamison and Brereton 2014)
- 2. Her who has the color of fire (Agni), blazing with ardor, radiant, pleased with the fruits of rites, the goddess Durgā (Difficult-to-Reach), do I approach for protection. Reverence to the swift-crossing one, O very swift savior (*sutarasi*)!
- 3. O Agni, deliver us anew to the far shore, beyond all hardships, with your blessings. And become a wide fortress, thick and broad, for us, and luck and lifetime for our progeny and posterity. (tr. Jamison and Brereton 2014)
- 4. Carry us across all difficult depths and difficult ways, o Jātavedas, as if across a river by a boat. O Agni, being sung with reverence as if by Atri, become the helper of our bodies. (tr. Jamison and Brereton 2014)
- The fight-conquering, overpowering Agni do we call with songs from the highest station; may
 he pass us across all difficult things; may divine Agni stride (?) across arduous things. (tr.
 Whitney 1905)
- 6. For as the ancient one to be invoked at the ceremonies, the Hotar both from of old and also anew, take your seat. Give pleasure to your own body, o Agni, and win good fortune for us through sacrifice. (tr. Jamison and Brereton 2014; here: "For you are the ancient one...")
- 7. O Indra, may we pass over to you the Pervading (*viṣnu*)—[you] satisfied with cattle, peerless, anointed, dwelling upon the back of the sky! Here in the world, may they gladden Vaiṣṇavī.

These stanzas, more commonly known as the Durgā Sūkta, appear together in the very last section of the late-Vedic $Taittir\bar{\imath}ya$ $\bar{A}ranyaka$, the final (tenth) book of which is transmitted independently among Atharvans as the $Mah\bar{a}n\bar{a}r\bar{a}yana$ Upaniṣad (MNU~6~/~164-77). 8 Sāyaṇa's comment on the beginning of $T\bar{A}$ 10 notes: "Just as the seventh and eighth chapters in the $Brhad\bar{a}ranyaka$ are referred to as appendix chapters $(khilak\bar{a}ndas)$ by teachers, likewise this $Y\bar{a}j\bar{n}ik\bar{\imath}$ Upaniṣad too, also called $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}yan\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$, has the form of an appendix, since it bears the marks of one. Whatever in the three divisions [of the Veda] remains to be said

^{6.} The Mahānārāyaṇa Upaniṣad (MNU), in Varenne's edition (1960), reads samvasānam vaiṣṇavam.

^{7.} Per the Bibliotheca Indica edition = 10.64–70 in the Bibliotheca Sanscritica edition.

^{8.} Numbering as per the editions by Jacob 1888 and Varenne 1960, respectively.

relating to ritual, devotion, and *brahman*, all that is labeled as an appendix because of its miscellaneous character." ⁹

By "miscellaneous character" Sāyaṇa means the diversity of topics and deities addressed, but the description applies just as well to the content of this particular so-called hymn. Works such as the $Y\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ik\bar{t}/Mah\bar{a}n\bar{a}r\bar{a}yaṇa$, the Śvetāśvatara, and the $N\bar{\iota}$ larudra date from a period when litanies were assembled, largely out of older Vedic parts, to honor minor Vedic deities who were gaining prominence, probably due to their having been identified with folk deities whose $p\bar{u}j\bar{a}$ -style worship needed to be provided with authentic Vedic mantras. The Durgā Sūkta consists of seven stanzas drawn mainly from the Rgveda:

- 1. RV 1.99(.1)¹⁰
- 2. *RVkh* 10.127.12 (interpolated)
- 3. RV 1.189.2 (= TS 1.1.14.4)
- 4. RV 5.4.9 (= TB 2.4.1.5)
- 5. *AVŚ* 7.63.1 (*duritāny*: v.l. *duritāty TB*)
- 6. RV 8.11.10 = AVS 6.110.11 (both: pratno hi)
- 7. no parallel

Each of the first five stanzas includes some form of the word durga, 11 which seems to have been sufficient to justify its inclusion—precisely the principle on which the $N\bar{\imath}larudra$ $Upani\bar{\imath}ad$ (a.k.a. $S\bar{u}kta$) was constructed (Lubin 2007), as well as many other late-Vedic litanies, including the Śrī Sūkta (Scheftelowitz 1921). Similarly, Geslani (2018: 35–39) argues that the $Kauśikas\bar{u}tra$ included several hymns in its groups of $ś\bar{a}nti$ mantras on the basis of their containing the likely unrelated term śam. The fifth stanza is itself named the "Durgā Sūkta" in some Atharvan manuscripts. 12 All of these occurrences of durga- are in the neuter plural, with $durgah\bar{a}$ in v. 4 representing the short Vedic neuter plural: vv. 1, 3, 4, and 5. The term thus does not denote a deity, but is a common noun meaning 'difficulty, distress'. These stanzas, along with the sixth, are likely the oldest ones, and are all addressed to Agni Jātavedas, the ritual fire.

The second stanza, which is the only one to mention a goddess Durgā (*durgāṃ devīṃ*), is known to us also from a supplemental verse (*khila*) appended to RV 10.127, the famous Rātrī Sūkta (hymn to the Night). ¹³ Like Agni in the other verses, Durgā is besought for aid against troubles:

tām agnivarṇāṃ tapasā įvalantīṃ vairocanīṃ karmaphaleṣu juṣṭām | durgām devīm śaraṇam aham pra padye sutarasi tarase namaḥ || 2

- 9. Pp. 752–53 in the BI edition: yathā bṛhadāraṇyake saptamāṣtamādhyāyau khilakāṇḍatvenācāryair udāhṛtau tathā nārāyaṇīyā vyākhyā yājñiky upaniṣad api khilakāṇḍarūpā tallakṣanopetatvāt | karmopāsanabrahmakāṇḍeṣu triṣv api yad yad vaktavyam avasiṣṭam tasya sarvasyābhidhānena prakīrṇakarūpam khilatvam; cf. the naming of the section at the end of the Durgā Sūkta: iti sāyaṇācāryaviracite mādhavīye vedārthaprakāśe yajurāraṇyake daśamaprapāṭhake nārāyaṇīyāparanāmadheyayuktāyāṃ yājñikyām upaniṣadi prathamo 'nuvākaḥ |
 - 10. See Vaikhānasasmārtasūtram (VaikhSmS; Caland 1927) 1.4 and 6.17.
- 11. Although $durgah\bar{a}$ in v. 4 is etymologically distinct from durga, belonging to an independent stem durgaha, it has clearly been analyzed as a compound of durga-. I thank Stephanie Jamison for drawing my attention to this form.
 - 12. E.g., National Archives, Kathmandu, no. 4.2226 = NGMPP A 588/17; see Lubin 2007: 93-94 (re ms. E).
- 13. Although Scheftelowitz's edition of the *Rgveda Khilas* (1906: 110–12) has fourteen stanzas in this *khila* hymn, the twelfth ends with a doubling of the last words, which marks a break. The second line of this "verse" appears to be prose.

For protection I approach the goddess Durgā (Difficult-to-Reach), who has the color of fire (Agni), blazing with ardor, radiant, pleased with the fruits of rites—reverence to the swift-crossing one, O very swift savior (*sutarasi*)!

The commentary on the *Taittirīya Āraṇyaka* that is attributed to Sāyaṇa explains the sequence by noting its purpose: "Now are stated mantras to be recited for the removal of what is undesirable ..." (athāniṣṭaparihārārthena japyā mantrā ucyante, BI edition, pp. 787–88). As Sāyaṇa recognizes, most of these *rc*-verses concern Agni, the ritual fire, as remover of bad things. Only the second addresses a female divinity called Durgā. Sāyaṇa explains it thus: 14

dvitīyām rcam āha | tām agnivarṇām tapasā... sutarasi tarame namaḥ iti | yeyam navadurgā kalpādiṣu mantraśāstreṣu prasiddhā, tāṃ durgāṃ devīṃ, ahaṃ śaraṇaṃ prapadye | kīdṛśīṃ, agnisamānavarṇāṃ, tapasā svakīyena santāpena, jvalantīṃ asmacchatrūn dahantīṃ, viśeṣeṇa rocate svayam eva prakāśata iti virocana(ḥ) paramātmā, tena dṛṣṭatvāt vairocanīṃ, karmaphaleṣu svargapaśuputrādiṣu nimittabhūteṣu, juṣṭāṃ upāsakasevitāṃ | he sutarasi suṣṭu saṃsārataraṇe heto devi, tarase tārayatryai tubhyaṃ, namaḥ astu ||

It states a second <code>rc-verse:</code> <code>tām agnivarṇām</code> ... This ninefold Durgā [viz., Kumārikā, Trimūrti, Kāyāṇī, Rohiṇī, Kālī, Caṇḍikā, Śāmbhavi, Durgā, Bhadrā] is celebrated in mantra-works dealing with ritual and so forth. "I approach that goddess Durgā (Difficult-to-Reach) for protection." What is she like? Having the same color as the fire (Agni), "blazing" (<code>jvalantīm</code>) = burning up our enemies, "with ardor" = with her very own ascetic fervor (<code>santāpena</code>). <code>Virocana</code> (the radiant, the sun) means the one who shines by himself, being especially radiant, i.e., the Supreme Self; [she is] <code>vairocanī</code> because she is perceived by him. "Pleased" = attended by worshippers, "with the fruits of rites" = with those [acts] that aim at gaining heaven, cattle, sons, etc. O "very swift savior" = O well-praised goddess, means of crossing over the stream of rebirths! To you, "swift-crossing one" = savioress, may there be "reverence"!

Sāyaṇa omits to comment at all on the final, seventh stanza, which suggests that it was absent from the *Taittirīya Āraṇyaka* as it was known to him. Moreover, it has no known parallels in the Vedic literature. Whatever its authenticity, its presence in the hymn may be due to a mention of Vaiṣṇavī, taken it seems as a feminine divine epithet. ¹⁵ (Jacob's 1888 edition of the *MNU* has a different verse in its place: *parastād yaśo guhāsu mama suparṇapakṣāya dhīmahi* | *śatabāhunā punar ajāyata suvo rājā sadhasthā trīṇi ca* || *MNU* 6.8, found only in Jacob's mss. DEF [F: *sadhasthāt*].)

The only parallel for the Durgā Sūkta's second stanza—which is the only one to mention $durg\bar{a} \ dev\bar{\imath}$ —is a stanza found in a few manuscripts of $Rgveda \ Khilas \ (RVKh) \ 4.2$, which supplements the famous Rātrī Sūkta of the $Rgveda \ (10.127)$. Many of the $Rgveda \ Khilas$ are old enough to be known to Yāska, who in fact cites $RVKh \ 4.2.1$ at $Nirukta \ 9.29$. However, the stanza appearing also in the Durgā Sūkta occurs in a series interpolated into the khila—a sort of khila to the khila. These stanzas (4.2.5-14) constitute a miniature liturgy to Durgā and end with a phalaśruti (announcement of the fruits of reciting them) that names the liturgy the "Durgā Stava," and implicitly justifies its connection with the Rātrī Sūkta:

stoṣyāmi prayato **devīṃ śaraṇyāṃ bahvṛcapriyām** | sahasrasaṃmitāṃ **durgāṃ** <u>jātavedase sunavāma somam</u> || 5

^{14.} Lemmas from the mantras are shown in boldface in the Sanskrit and in quotation marks in the translation.

^{15.} Varenne's edition of the MNU includes this stanza, occurring only in ms. ān, with the reading: samvasānam vaiṣṇavam.

```
sāntyartham taddvijātīnām rṣibhiḥ samupaśritāḥ 16 |
rgvede tvam samutpannārātīyato ni dahāti vedaḥ || 6
ye tvām devi prapadyanti brāhmaṇā havyavāhanīm |
avidyā bahuvidyā vā sa naḥ parṣad ati durgāṇi viśvā || 7
ye agnivarṇām śubhām saumyām kīrtayiṣyanti ye dvijāḥ |
tān tārayati durgāṇi nāveva sindhum duritāty agniḥ || 8
durgeṣu viṣame ghore saṃgrāme ripusaṃkaṭe |
agnicoranipāteṣu duṣṭagrahanivāraṇe duṣṭagrahanivāraṇy oṃ namaḥ || 9
durgeṣu viṣameṣu tvaṃ saṃgrāmeṣu vaneṣu ca |
mohayitvā prapadyante teṣāṃ me abhayaṃ kuru teṣāṃ me abhayaṃ kurv oṃ namaḥ || 10
keśinīṃ 17 sarvabhūtānāṃ pañcamīti ca nāma ca |
sā māṃ samāṃ diśāṃ devī sarvataḥ parirakṣatu sarvataḥ parirakṣatu oṃ namaḥ || 11
tām agnivarṇāṃ tapasā jvalantīṃ vairocanīṃ karmaphaleṣu juṣṭām |
durgāṃ devīṃ śaraṇam ahaṃ pra padye sutarasi tarase namaḥ sutarasi tarase namaḥ || 12
[phalaśruti and ritual instructions:]
```

durgā durgeşu sthāneşu sam no devīr abhiṣṭaye | ya imam durgāstavam punyam <u>rātrau rātrau</u> sadā paṭhet || 13 rātriḥ kuśikaḥ saubharo rātrir vā bhāradvājī rātristavam gāyatram | rātrīsūktam japen nityam tatkāla upapadyate || 14

- 5. I will praise with devotion the **protective Goddess beloved to Rgvedins**, Durgā the thousand-fold. We will press Soma for Jātavedas! (?)
- 6. In order to pacify [dangerous forces] for those "twice-born," you, relied upon by sages, arose in the Rgveda. He will burn down the property of the hostile.
- 7. Those Brahmins who approach you, **O Goddess who conveys offerings**, whether they are unlearned or well learned. He (!) will carry us across all **difficult passages**.
- 8. Those "twice-born" who will praise the fire-colored, bright, kindly one, Agni helps them get past difficulties, across difficult transits, as if with a boat across a river.
- 9. She (?) hinders the reach of bad things, ¹⁸ in case of **difficulties**, rough ground, fright, battle, encounter with enemies, being beset by fire or thieves. (*pāda* d *bis*) *Om namaḥ*!
- 10. In case of difficulties, adversity, battles, and forests, [people] approach [you] when they are in confusion. Protect me from those! (pāda d bis) Om namaḥ!
- 11. May she—called Long-Haired [like Rudra] and of all beings the Fifth—may that Goddess protect me on all sides! (pāda d bis) Om namah!
- 12. For protection I approach the **goddess Durgā** (Difficult-to-Reach), who has the color of fire (Agni), blazing with ardor, radiant, pleased with the fruits of rites—reverence to the swift-crossing one, O very swift savior (*sutarasi*)! (*pāda* d *bis*)
- 13–14. **Durgā** (will aid one?) in all difficult places—O divine [waters], bless us for assistance!— who would always recite this auspicious Durgā Stava <u>night after night</u>.

 [anukramaṇī-type classification of deity = Night, sage = Kuśika, meter = Gāyatrī, etc.] One should continually repeat the Rātrī Sūkta whenever it is suitable.

This Durgā Stava was certainly added after the composition of the *Khilānukramaṇ*ī, which specifies that *RVKh* 4.2 contains only four stanzas (i.e., vv. 1–4). Another clue to its late date is the use of the terms *dvijāti* and *dvija* (vv. 6 and 8). I pointed out in 2005, and Patrick Olivelle subsequently showed in more detail, ¹⁹ that these technical terms were introduced only in the Dharmasūtras in connection with the newly devised "āśrama system." Hence these

^{16.} Read: samupaśritā?

^{17.} Read: keśinī.

^{18.} Read: duṣṭagrahanivāraṇī.

^{19.} Lubin 2005: 87-88 and n. 23; Olivelle 2012.

stanzas cannot be older than the mid-third century BCE, and in fact are more likely at least a century or two younger.

The use of these ideologically marked terms is especially notable given the emphasis in vv. 5–8 on Durgā's protection of fire-offering Revedic Brahmins whether they are learned or not (v. 7). This too is a clue that the goddess is being invoked here not to protect king and kingdom but the interests of the ritualists themselves. Patronage of Brahmins through gifts of food and land was often justified on the grounds of their learning and other professional virtues; here their ritually marked social status is asserted to be a sufficient basis for Durgā's divine assistance.

Another connection between the two Durgā litanies is more cryptic. The final $p\bar{a}da$ of each of the first four stanzas of the interpolated Durgā Stava repeats one of the four $p\bar{a}da$ s of the first stanza of the Durgā Sūkta ($p\bar{a}da$ s a, b, c, and d, respectively, in order; underlined in the text above). This poetic jugglery—somewhat in the manner of an inept villanelle—explains the impression of *non sequitur* in these verses.

The two litanies also illustrate two steps in the process of constructing a Vedic mantra foundation for the Durgā cult—much as the Śvetāśvatara did for Rudra. Durgā emerges as a fiery female divine power associated with Agni in his protective role—fierce and austere, but tender toward her devotees. Little wonder that these mercurial and implicitly dangerous figures should be linked in later mythology.

CONCLUSION

In these Yajurvedic and apocryphal Rgvedic sources, we find Durgā in a context quite different from the military and royal milieu of the *Atharvaveda*. At first, she is almost conflated with Agni, or depicted as one of his forms, especially in his protective role, but she is also addressed under the names of a number of auspicious goddesses and as the consort of Rudra. The titles *bhagavatī* and *āryā* resonate with queenly dignity and Vedic orthodoxy, as do her association with the sacrificial fire and her special relationship with the "twice-born." By the time we come, in perhaps the first centuries CE, to the *Baudhāyana Gṛḥyaśeṣasūtra*'s Vedicized image ritual, she has become a wholly mild-mannered, blessing-bestowing, orthodox Lady, at home with Śrī and the consort-goddesses of polite society.

In sum, we can distinguish two late-Vedic treatments of the goddess. There is an Atharvan one, which aligns her with the repertoire of the royal chaplain (*purohita*) and rites to augment the military strength of a king, as Sanderson has shown. Here we have noted a different, Taittirīya and secondarily late-Rgvedic, development that invokes her in Smārta expiations and, later, image cult—the latter largely an outgrowth of the former, as Marko Geslani has argued (2011, 2018). These Brahmin priestly authors fashioned a sort of Vedic "backstory" for what was likely a folk goddess, by associating her with Vedic mantras and epithets, some authentically old, plus others in a similar style but of more recent vintage. In the examples reviewed here, the old mantras were retroactively interpreted as (or mistaken for) allusions to the goddess, based on a hunch that a key word in the mantras was the basis of her name and that the entreaties directed to Agni must apply likewise to Durgā.

APPENDIX: HARTING'S TRANSLATION OF BGŚS 3.3

Now we shall explain the rite (of the worship) of Durgā.

Every month, in the forenoon of the day on which the moon stands in conjunction with the Kṛttikās, placing ready the requisites (for the worship, viz.) the sacred thread and red lotus flowers, preparing with cowdung a quadrangular sthandila, 'a bull's hide' in size, sprinkling

it, being cloaked with purity, he should invoke Bhagavatī, (reciting the verse), 'jātavedase', etc. (TĀ, X, 1, 16), (and with the formula) 'Om, I invoke the noble consort of Rudra'.

Having thus invoked her, he offers (her) a bunch of Kuśa grass (as a seat, reciting the verse), 'tām agnivarṇām', etc. $(T\bar{A}, X, 2, 1)$.

He offers (her) the sacred thread (with the verse), 'agne tvaṃ pārayā', etc. (TS, I, 1, 14, m), (and then) bathes her, (reciting the) three (verses), 'āpo hi ṣṭhā mayobhuvaḥ', etc. (TS, IV, 1, 5, b), the four (verses), 'hiraṇyavarṇāḥ', etc. (TS, V, 6, 1, a), (and) the chapter, 'pavamānaḥ', etc. (TB, I, 4, 8).

Having bathed (her), he worships her with the eleven names, 'to Āryā, to Raudrī, to Mahākāļī, to Mahāyoginī, to Suvarṇapuṣpī, to Vedasaṃkīrtī, to Mahāyajñī, to Mahāvaiṣṇavī, to Mahābhagavatī, to Manogamī, to Śaṅkhadhāriṇī', (and) with perfume, flowers, incense, and a lamp.

Having worshipped (her) with these names (in the formula), 'Adoration to goddess so-and-so; adoration to goddess so-and-so', he offers an oblation, (reciting) the Sāvitrī, (and with the formula), 'To the adorable goddess Durgā I offer this oblation'.

Offering the remainder as a burnt-oblation, he should mutter the five Durgā (verses; i.e., 'jātavedase', etc.; $T\bar{A}$, X, 64-68), and ten times (the formula), 'svasti'. He should mutter (also the verses beginning with) 'jāto yad agne' (TS, I, 5, 11, e), 'vaṣaṭ te viṣṇo' (TS, II, 2, 12, r), 'vastoṣ pate' (TS, III, 4, 10, a or b), 'evā vandasva' (TB, II, 5, 8, 4), 'ā no niyudbhiḥ' (TB, II, 8, 1, 2), 'hiraṇyavarṇo abhayaṃ kṛṇotu' (TB, II, 8, 4, 1), 'aśvāvatīm' (TS, IV, 2, 6, o), 'tvaṃ varuṇa uta' (TB, III, 5, 2, 3), 'bṛhaspate yuvam indraś ca vasvaḥ' (TB, II, 5, 6, 3), 'svasti na indro vṛddhaśravāḥ' (TĀ, I, 1, 1), with (?) the eleven chapters (beginning), 'śaṃ ca me mayaś ca me' (TS, IV, 7, 3).

Having removed the oblation (while reciting) the Sāvitrī, (and with the formula), 'I remove the oblation for the adorable goddess Durgā', he should give the remainder to Brāhmaṇas.

During a year he should worship (her in this way).

'All desires will be fulfilled (of one who acts thus).'

Thus speaks the venerable Baudhāyana.

REFERENCES

Banerji, R. D. 1919–20 [1925]. Neulpur Grant of Subhakara: The 8th Year. *Epigraphia Indica* 15: 1–8 (no. 1).

Bhadkamkar, H. M., ed. 1918–42. *The Nirukta of Yāska (with Nighaṇṭu), Edited with Durga's Commentary*, 2 vols. Bombay Sanskrit and Prakrit Series, vol. 73. Pune: Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute. [Vol. 1, repr. 1985.]

Bhise, Usha R. 1995. *The Khila-Sūktas of the Rgveda: A Study*. Pune: Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute.

Bisschop, Peter C. 2018. *Universal Śaivism: The Appeasement of All Gods and Powers in the* Śāntyadhyāya *of the* Śivadharmaśāstra. Gonda Indological Studies, vol. 18. Leiden: Brill.

Caland, Willem, ed. 1927. Vaikhānasasmārtasūtram: The Domestic Rules and Sacred Laws of the Vaikhānasa School Belonging to the Black Yajurveda. Bibliotheca Indica, vol. 242. Calcutta: Asiatic Society of Bengal.

Couture, André, and Charlotte Schmid. 2001. The *Harivaṃśa*, the Goddess Ekānaṃśā, and the Iconography of the Vṛṣṇi Triads. *JAOS* 121.2: 173–92.

Desai, P. B. 1953–54 [1958]. Copper-Plate Grant of Kadamba Tribhuvanamalla: Śaka 1028. *Epigraphia Indica* 30: 71–77 (no. 15).

Furui, Ryosuke. 2009. Re-Reading Two Copper Plate Inscriptions of Gopala II, Year 4. In *Prajnadhara: Essays on Asian Art, History, Epigraphy and Culture in Honour of Gouriswar Bhattacharya*, ed. Gerd J. R. Mevissen and Arundhati Banerji. Pp. 319–30. New Delhi: Kaveri Books.

- Geslani, Marko. 2011. Appeasement and Atonement in the Mahādānas. *Journal asiatique* 299.1: 133–92.
- ——. 2018. Rites of the God-King: Śānti and Ritual Change in Early Hinduism. New York: Oxford Univ. Press.
- Griffiths, Arlo, and Annette Schmiedchen, eds. 2007. *The Atharvaveda and Its Paippalāda Śākhā: Historical and Philological Papers on a Vedic Tradition*. Geisteskultur Indiens, Texte und Studien, vol. 11 = Studia Indologica Universitatis Halensis. Aachen: Shaker Verlag.
- Harting, P. N. U. 1922. Selections from the Baudhāyana-Gṛḥyapariśiṣṭasūtra. Amersfoort, The Netherlands: J. Valkhoff.
- Jacob, G. A. 1888. *The Mahânârâyaṇa-Upanishad of the Atharva-Veda with the Dîpikâ of Nârâyaṇa*. Bombay: Government Central Book Depôt.
- Jamison, Stephanie W., and Joel P. Brereton. 2014. *The Rigveda: The Earliest Religious Poetry of India*. New York: Oxford Univ. Press.
- Khare, G. H. 1951. Kadamba Tribhuvanamallakālīna eka tāmrapaṭa Śaka 1028. In *Sources of the Medieval History of the Deccan*, vol. 4, ed. M. G. Dikshit. Pp. 45–56 (no. 7). Pune: Bharata Itihasa Samshodhaka Mandala.
- Lubin, Timothy. 2005. The Transmission, Patronage, and Prestige of Brahmanical Piety from the Mauryas to the Guptas. In *Boundaries, Dynamics and Construction of Traditions in South Asia*, ed. Federico Squarcini. Pp. 77–103. Kykéion studi e testi, vol. I, Scienze delle religioni, vol. 3. Florence: Firenze Univ. Press.
- ———. 2007. The *Nīlarudropaniṣad* and the *Paippalādasaṃhitā*: A Critical Edition and Translation of the *Upaniṣad* and Nārāyaṇa's *Dīpikā*. In Griffiths and Schmiedchen 2007: 81–139.
- ———. 2016. The Vedic *Homa* and the Standardization of Hindu *Pūjā*. In *Homa Variations: The Study of Ritual Change across the Longue Durée*, ed. Richard K. Payne and Michael Witzel. Pp. 143–66. Oxford Ritual Studies Series. New York: Oxford Univ. Press.
- Magoun, H. W. 1889. The Asurī-Kalpa: A Witchcraft Practice of the Atharva-Veda. American Journal of Philology 10.2: 165–97.
- Mitra, Rajendralal. 1872. *Taittirīya Āraṇyaka of the Black Yajurveda with Commentary of Sāyaṇa*. Calcutta: Bibliotheca Indica.
- Mukherji, S. C. 1997–99. Two New Copper-Plate Inscriptions (Nos. 1 and 2) of King Gopala II, Pala Dynasty of Bengal and Bihar, Regnal Year 4 (Circa 878 A.D.). *Pratna Samiksha* 6–8: 77–80.
- _____. 2000. Two New Copper Plates of King Gopāla II of the Pāla Dynasty of Bengal and Bihar, Regnal Year 4 (c. 877 A.D.): B. Copper Plate no. 2. *Journal of Bengal Art* 5: 64–65.
- Olivelle, Patrick. 2012. Patañjali and the Beginnings of Dharmaśāstra: An Alternate Social History of Early Dharmasūtra Production. In *Aux abords de la clairière: Colloque en l'honneur de Ch. Malamoud*, ed. Silvia d'Intino and Caterina Guenzi. Pp. 117–33. Bibliothèque de l'École des Hautes Études, Sciences religieuses, vol. 154. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Ravi Varmā, L. A. 1940. *Āgniveśyagṛhyasūtra*. Trivandrum Sanskrit Series, vol. 146. Trivandrum: Univ. of Travancore.
- Sahni, D. R. 1917–18. Chandravati Plates of Chandradeva: V.S. 1150 and 1156. (A) Plates of Vikrama-Samvat 1150. *Epigraphia Indica* 14: 192–209 (no. 15[A]).
- Sanderson, Alexis. 2004. Religion and the State: Śaiva Officiants in the Territory of the King's Brahmanical Chaplain. *Indo-Iranian Journal* 47: 229–300.
- 2007. Atharvavedins in Tantric Territory: The Angirasakalpa Texts of the Oriya Paippalädins and Their Connection with the Trika and the Kālīkula. In Griffiths and Schmiedchen 2007: 195–312.
- Sarkar, Bihani. 2012. The Rite of Durgā in Medieval Bengal: An Introductory Study of Raghunandana's *Durgāpūjātattva* with Text and Translation of the Principal Rites. *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, ser. 3, 22.2: 325–90.
- ——. 2017. Heroic Shāktism: The Cult of Durgā in Ancient Indian Kingship. British Academy Monographs. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press.
- Scheftelowitz, Isidor. 1906. Die Apokryphen des Rgveda (Khilāni). Breslau: M. & H. Marcus.
- . 1921. Śrī Śūkta. Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft 75: 37–50.

- Schmiedchen, Annette. 2007. Epigraphical Evidence for the History of Atharvavedic Brahmins. In Griffiths and Schmiedchen 2007: 355–79.
- Shama Sastri, R. 1920. *The Bodhâyana Grihyasutra*, 2d ed. Oriental Library Publications Sanskrit Series, vol. 32/55. Mysore, India: Government Branch.
- Sontakke, N. S., and C. G. Kashikar. 1983. *Rgveda-Samhitā with the Commentary of Sāyaṇācārya*, vol. 4, Maṇḍalas IX–X [and Khilas]. Pune: Vaidika Samśodhana Maṇḍala.
- Tripathy, S. 2000. Neulpur Plate of Śubhākaradeva, Year 30. In *Inscriptions of Orissa [New Series.]*, vol. 2: *Inscriptions of the Bhaumakaras*, no. 4. Pp. 110–15. New Delhi: Indian Council of Historical Research and Motilal Banarsidass.
- Varenne, Jean. 1960. *La Mahā Nārāyaṇa Upaniṣad*. 2 vols. Publications de l'Institut de Civilisation Indienne, fasc. 11 and 13. Paris: Éditions de Boccard.
- Weber, Albrecht. 1871–72. Die Taittirīya-Saṃhitā, 2 vols. Leipzig: Brockhaus.
- Whitney, William Dwight. 1905. *Atharva-Veda Saṃhitā*. Harvard Oriental Series, vols. 7–8. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Univ. Press.
- Yokochi, Yuko. 2004. The Rise of the Warrior Goddess in Ancient India: A Study of the Myth Cycle of Kauśikī-Vindhyavāsinī in the Skandapurāṇa. PhD diss., Groningen University.